

# Planned Obfuscation

Edward Hendrie

May 6, 2023

The FDA tries to cover its and vaccine makers' deception by distinguishing between SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. While the distinction is technically correct, it is a deceptive contrivance that is part of their plan to hoodwink the masses. The FDA states that the SARS-CoV-2 virus causes an illness known as COVID-19. And so, the claims by the government officials and the pharmaceutical companies that the COVID-19 vaccines will prevent COVID-19 should not be interpreted to mean that it will prevent infection from the SARS-CoV-2 virus. If you are confused by that distinction, it is because it is part of their plan to baffle you with baloney.

The public and the medical community do not generally understand the FDA's distinction between SARS-CoV-2 and COVID.<sup>1</sup> For example, Johns Hopkins, which Newsweek rated as the #4 rated medical facility in the world,<sup>2</sup> considers SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 as meaning the same thing. On November 1, 2022, Johns Hopkins stated that “[g]etting vaccinated provides greater protection to others since the vaccine helps reduce the spread of COVID-19.”<sup>3</sup> Johns Hopkins considers COVID-19 a virus that can be spread. Indeed, expert virologists consider the many alleged virus variants “COVID-19 variants.”<sup>4</sup>

Despite the common interchangeable usage of COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 to describe the same pathogen, the FDA distinguishes the alleged virus (SARS-CoV-2) from the illness it is alleged to cause (COVID-19). By doing that, the FDA can claim that the COVID-19 vaccines are not required to prevent the infection or spread of a pathogen (in this case the SARS-CoV-2). The distinction, in the end, does not help the FDA or the pharmaceutical companies because the vaccines do not prevent COVID-19 either. Indeed, the evidence is that the vaccines cause antibody-dependent enhancement that is often manifested in a diagnosis of COVID-19.

If you are confused at this point it is because the pharmaceutical companies have created a scheme that is confusing by design. Moving on, let's consider the following statement on April 23, 2023, from Peter Marks, MD, Ph.D., Director, FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. It will shock you. The FDA now admits that, from the outset, the FDA did not require the COVID-19 vaccine makers to demonstrate that their vaccines would prevent the infection or transmission of any pathogen. The FDA uses the distinction between COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 to throw sand in your eyes so that you do not realize there is no justification for their actions.

It is important to note that FDA's authorization and licensure standards for vaccines do not require demonstration of the prevention of infection or transmission. A vaccine can meet the licensure standard if the vaccine's benefits of protecting against disease outweigh the vaccine's risks for the licensed use. There is no requirement that the vaccine also prevents infection with the pathogen that can cause the disease or

transmission of that pathogen to others. Similarly, a vaccine can meet the EUA standard without any evidence that the vaccine prevents infection or transmission. To that end, there is no requirement that the clinical trials supporting a vaccine's licensure or authorization be designed to determine whether the vaccine prevents infection of a pathogen or transmission of that pathogen to others.<sup>5</sup>

There you have it in black and white. The FDA did not require the COVID-19 vaccine makers to demonstrate that their vaccines worked to prevent the infection or transmission of any pathogen. But the public was never told that. It is only now, after the FDA was pushed to explain the ineffectiveness of the vaccines, that they decided to let the cat out of the bag and admit that the vaccines were never intended to stop the infection or transmission of any pathogen. The government treats the public the same malevolent way a psychopathic adult would take advantage of the naive trust of children: "You silly kids, you should have known the vaccines weren't designed to work."

If the public had understood that the vaccines were not designed to prevent infection or transmission of the alleged virus, they would never have gotten injected with the vaccine. Vaccine mandates were premised on obtaining herd immunity from the alleged virus. There would be no justification for vaccine mandates if the vaccines do not stop the infection and spread of the virus. And so, the fact that the vaccines were not designed to prevent infection or transmission needed to be kept secret. The pharmaceutical companies and the government began a campaign of deception portraying the COVID-19 vaccines as safe and effective in stopping the infection and transmission, all the while knowing full well the vaccines at the outset were not even designed to do either.

Indeed, on or before December 22, 2022, the CDC posted a statement that "COVID 19-vaccines are effective at preventing severe illness from COVID-19 and **limiting the spread of the virus** that causes it."<sup>6</sup> So, here you have the CDC saying that the vaccines would stop the spread of the virus, but the FDA claims that no demonstration of the prevention of infection or transmission of a pathogen was ever required or proven. Everyone was led to believe that the COVID-19 vaccines would protect the vaccinated from the virus and stop its spread. The CDC lied. Everyone was fooled.

The public has been hoodwinked by subtlety. "Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made." Genesis 3:1. The nuanced distinction between COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 is not adhered to by government officials or the pharmaceutical companies in their public statements or official documents. It seems that the FDA and pharmaceutical companies used the terms interchangeably to confuse the public, while concealing that they considered them to have two different meanings. It was a trick to fool the public. For example, NIAID Director Anthony Fauci is on record deceptively saying on May 16, 2021, that "[w]hen you get vaccinated ... you contribute to the community health by **preventing the spread of the virus** throughout the community. ... In other words, **you become a dead end to the virus.**"<sup>7</sup> Dr. Fauci falsely portrays the vaccines as effective in stopping the infection and spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

On March 9, 2021, the CDC Director, Dr. Rochelle Walensky, said, "our data from the CDC

today suggests that **vaccinated people do not carry the virus**, don't get sick — and it's not just in the clinical trials, but it's also in real world data.”<sup>8</sup> Walensky was talking about protection against the SARS-CoV-2 virus. She elaborated that since those vaccinated against COVID-19 do not carry the virus, it eliminates the risk that they would be contagious to others.<sup>9</sup> Walensky and Fauci were both lying. On April 1, 2021, Walensky retracted her statement and admitted that “some people who are fully vaccinated could get Covid-19.”<sup>10</sup>

Notice that Walensky did not make any distinction between the SARS-CoV-2 virus and COVID-19 illness. She understood that in both instances, she was discussing the spread of the alleged virus known to the public as both COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2. Notice that her retraction was made six weeks prior to Dr. Fauci's May 16, 2021, assurance that the vaccinated persons “**become a dead end to the virus.**” Government officials were misrepresenting the vaccines as preventing the infection and spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus while knowing full well that the vaccine makers were not required to (and did not) prove either.

On August 23, 2021, the FDA cited the Pfizer Comirnaty vaccines as a strategy to gain herd immunity to the SARS-CoV-2 virus. “Public health vaccination goals of immunizing 75% of the population (to achieve herd immunity) have not yet been achieved.”<sup>11</sup> The FDA clearly described the Pfizer Comirnaty COVID-19 vaccine under review as providing widespread immunity against infection and spread, known as herd immunity, of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. But that was a deception because FDA Director of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Peter Marks revealed on April 23, 2023, that “the FDA's authorization and licensure standards for vaccines do not require demonstration of the prevention of infection or transmission.”<sup>12</sup>

Pfizer suggested that widespread uptake of its COVID-19 vaccine would provide herd immunity to the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Its October 21, 2021 FDA briefing document stated that its vaccine would reduce infection and transmission rates.

Maximizing the proportion of the population that is vaccinated is critically important to help reduce rates of infection, decrease transmission, prevent the emergence of new variants of concern, and hasten the end of the pandemic.<sup>13</sup>

We now know that Pfizer lied in that October 21, 2021, briefing document claiming that its vaccine would “decrease transmission” because on October 10, 2022, Pfizer went on record, through the admission of Janine Small, President of International Markets at Pfizer, in testimony before the European Parliament that Pfizer never tested its vaccine to see if it would stop the transmission of the virus.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, the FDA now says that “there is no requirement that the clinical trials supporting a vaccine's licensure or authorization be designed to determine whether the vaccine prevents infection of a pathogen or transmission of that pathogen to others.”<sup>15</sup>

Moderna used COVID-19 to describe the SARS-CoV-2 virus in its public pronouncements. For example, the Moderna FAQ website stated: “To safely achieve herd immunity against COVID-19, a large amount of a population needs to be vaccinated.”<sup>16</sup> Notice that Moderna discusses

immunity to COVID-19, which is the word used to describe the virus known as SARS-CoV-2. The common parlance within the government and the pharmaceutical companies has been to use both "COVID-19" and "SARS-CoV-2" to describe the alleged virus.

As we have seen from the pronouncements from the government and pharmaceutical companies, when the distinction between SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 got in the way of their goal of hoodwinking the public, the distinction was ignored, and they announced that the vaccines protect against the infection and transmission of the virus itself. But when the evidence surfaced that the COVID-19 vaccines do not prevent infection or spread of the virus, the FDA claimed that is because the vaccines were only designed to prevent the illness (COVID-19) caused by the virus (SARS-CoV-2) and were not required to prevent the infection and spread of the virus itself. It's a game of heads, I win, tails, you lose. In any event, the distinction between SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 is a distinction without a difference because the vaccines neither stopped the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus nor the alleged COVID-19 illness. The vaccines do not work.

The evidence is now clear. The COVID-19 vaccines were not designed to stop infection or transmission of any virus or illness. The COVID-19 vaccines are bioweapons designed to injure and kill. The vaccine makers and government officials are playing a game of nuanced obfuscation to conceal their culpability.

## Endnotes

1. Richard Gray, This is how new Covid-19 variants are changing the pandemic, BBC, 27 January 2021, <https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20210127-covid-19-variants-how-mutations-are-changing-the-pandemic>.
2. The World's Best Hospitals 2023, Newsweek, 2023, <https://www.newsweek.com/rankings/worlds-best-hospitals-2023>.
3. Gabor David Kelen, M.D., Lisa Maragakis, M.D., M.P.H., COVID-19 Vaccine: What You Need to Know, Johns Hopkins, Updated on November 1, 2022, <https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/conditions-and-diseases/coronavirus/covid-19-vaccine-what-you-need-to-know#:~:text=Yes%2C%20evidence%20continues%20to%20indicate,had%20COVID%2D19%20or%20not>.
4. Andrew Pekosz, What You Need to Know About COVID-19 Variants, <https://publichealth.jhu.edu/2021/what-you-need-to-know-about-covid-19-variants> (last visited on May 5, 2023).
5. Letter from Peter Marks, MD, PhD, Director, FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research to Linda Wastila, Coalition Advocating for Adequately Labeled Medicines (CAALM), April 18, 2023, <https://www.regulations.gov/document/FDA-2023-P-0360-019>.
6. Ensuring COVID-19 Vaccine Safety in the US, CDC, Updated Dec. 22, 2022, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety.html#print>.
7. Joseph Choi, Fauci: Vaccinated people become 'dead ends' for the coronavirus, The Hill, May 16, 2021, <https://thehill.com/homenews/sunday-talk-shows/553773-fauci-vaccinated-people-become-dead-ends-for-the-coronavirus/>.
8. CDC: 'Vaccinated People Do Not Carry the Virus', Totality of Evidence, <https://totalityofevidence.com/timeline/cdc-vaccinated-people-do-not-carry-the-virus/> (last visited on May 5, 2023).
9. CDC: 'Vaccinated People Do Not Carry the Virus', Totality of Evidence, <https://totalityofevidence.com/timeline/cdc-vaccinated-people-do-not-carry-the-virus/> (last visited on May 5, 2023).
10. CDC: 'Vaccinated People Do Not Carry the Virus', supra.
11. Susan Wollersheim, MD and Ann Schwartz, MD, STN:125742, BLA Clinical Review Memorandum, FDA, August 23, 2021, <https://www.fda.gov/media/152256/download#page=95>. See also Linda Wastila, BSPHarm, MSPH, PhD, Citizen Petition, Coalition Advocating for

Adequately Labeled Medicines (CAALM), January 31, 2023,  
<https://totalityofevidence.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/caalm-citizen-petition-to-fda.pdf>.

12. Letter from Peter Marks, MD, PhD, Director, FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research to Linda Wastila, Coalition Advocating for Adequately Labeled Medicines (CAALM), April 18, 2023, <https://www.regulations.gov/document/FDA-2023-P-0360-019>.

13. Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee October 26, 2021 Meeting Document, BNT162B2 [COMIRNATY (COVID-19 Vaccine, MRNA)] Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Briefing Document,  
<https://www.fda.gov/media/153409/download#page=16>.

14. Special Committee on COVID-19 Pandemic, European Parliament, October 10, 2022, at 15:23:00,  
[https://multimedia.europarl.europa.eu/en/webstreaming/special-committee-on-covid-19-pandemic\\_20221010-1430-COMMITTEE-COVI](https://multimedia.europarl.europa.eu/en/webstreaming/special-committee-on-covid-19-pandemic_20221010-1430-COMMITTEE-COVI).

15. Letter from Peter Marks, April 18, 2023, *supra*.

16. Your vaccination questions answered, Moderna, archived page from January 7, 2023,  
<http://web.archive.org/web/20230107040800/https://www.makeityourvaccine.com/faqs>.